

Page: 1 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

## 1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables

ACTION: Call lab for explanation/resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the package under the "Contract Problems/Non-Compliance" section of reviewer narrative.

If no, a SAS-request can be retrieved from RSCC.

2.2 Are Case Number and/or SAS number contained in the Narrative or Cover letter? ☐ ☐ ☐

The following checklist is divided into three parts. Part A is filled out if the data package contains any Low Concentration Volatile analyses, Part B for any Low Concentration Semivolatile analyses and Part C for Low Concentration Pesticide/Aroclors.

Action: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

YES NO N/A

If unpreserved, samples maintained at 4°C and are to be analyzed for aromatic hydrocarbons must be analyzed within 7 days of collection. If preserved with HCl (pH<2) and stored at 4°C, then samples must

S))Q

YES NO N/A

be analyzed within 14 days of collection. If uncertain about preservation, contact sampler to determine whether or not samples were preserved.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample ID	Preserved?	(See Traffic Report)		
		Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

ACTION: If technical holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded. If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon re-analysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. At a minimum, all results must be qualified "J", but the reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable (R). If holding times are exceeded by more than 28 days, all non-detect data are unusable (R).

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II LCV)

3.1 Are the VOA Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II LCV) present? ☐ \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: Call lab for explanation/resubmittals. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect in data assessments.

3.2 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk? ☐ \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

Page: 4 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

                          

\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors in the data assessment.

LOW CONCENTRATION WATER  
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Page: 5 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

S))Q

YES NO N/A

4.0 Laboratory Control Sample (Form III LCV)

4.1 Is the Laboratory Control Sample Recovery  
Form (Form III LCV) present? ☐ ☐ ☐

4.2 Was the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) analyzed  
at the required frequency (once per SDG or  
every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent)  
for the Low Concentration VOA method? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If any LCS data are missing, take  
the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many VOA LCS recoveries are outside QC  
limits of 60-140%?

Low Conc. Water

\_\_\_\_\_ out of 12

ACTION: If the LCS recovery is greater than 140%,  
positive results should be flagged "J" for  
the affected compound.

If the mass spectral criteria are met but the LCS  
recovery is less than 60%, then the associated detected  
target compounds should be flagged "J". Associated non-  
detected target compounds should be flagged "R".

If 25 % of the analyte recoveries are below QC-limits  
qualify all associated positive sample data as "J" and  
non-detects "R".

If two or more analytes show recoveries of < 10% all  
associated positive sample data as "J" and  
non-detects "R".

It should be noted for TPO action if a laboratory fails to  
analyze an LCS with each SDG, or if a laboratory consistently  
fails to generate acceptable LCS recoveries.

Page: 6 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

5.0.1b Chromatography: Compare the storage blank raw data with the associated method blank data in order to determine if the contamination is also present

S))Q

YES NO N/A

in the method blank.

ACTION: If the storage blank contains target compounds at a concentration greater than the CRQL, positive results for that compound(s) should be flagged "J". If gross contamination occurred positive sample results may require rejection for that compound.

5.0.1c Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for the storage blank acceptable for Low Conc. VOAs? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.

6.0 Contamination

NOTE: "Water blanks", "drill blanks", and distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample, and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.

6.1 Has an instrument blank been analyzed following a sample analysis which contained an analyte(s) at high concentration(s). ☐ ☐

ACTION: Sample analysis results after the high concentration sample must be evaluated for carryover. Instrument cross contamination should be noted for TPO action if an effect on the data is suspected.

6.2 Do any method/storage blanks have positive results (TCL and/or TIC) for Low Conc. VOAs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample dilution factor. ☐ ☐

6.3 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive Low Conc. VOA results (TCL and/or TIC)? ☐ ☐

Page: 8 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

**ACTION:** Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks. If any blanks are grossly contaminated, all associated data should be qualified as unusable (R).

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & <10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL & >10x blank value value
Methylene Chloride Acetone 2-Butanone	Flag sample result with a "U"	Report CRQL & qualify "U"	No qualification is needed
	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5x blank value
Other Contam- inants	Flag sample result with a "U"	Report CRQL & qualify "U"	No qualification is needed



LOW CONCENTRATION WATER  
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Page: 9 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

S))Q

YES NO N/A

NOTE: Analytes qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered as "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank.  
Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (Form V-LCV)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Forms (Form V-LCV) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)? ☐ ☐ ☐

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift? ☐ ☐ ☐

7.3 Has an instrument performance compound been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analysis for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

7.4 Have the ion abundances been normalized to m/z 95? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If mass assignment is in error, qualify all associated data as unusable (R).

7.5 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If ion abundance criteria are not met, the Region II TPO must be notified.

7.6 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) ☐ ☐ ☐

7.7 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make necessary corrections and document effect in data assessments.

7.8 Is the spectrum of the mass calibration compound acceptable? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I LCV)

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I LCV) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:

Page: 11 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

- a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate? ☐ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_
- b. Laboratory Control Samples? ☐ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_
- c. Blanks? ☐ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following:

- a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate? ☐ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_
- b. Laboratory Control Samples? ☐ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_
- c. Blanks? ☐ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

8.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report? ☐          

8.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:

- |                                 |                          |                          |                          |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Baseline stability?             | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Resolution?                     | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Peak shape?                     | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Full-scale graph (attenuation)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other: _____                    | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.

8.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for

S))Q

YES NO N/A

each sample? ☐ \_\_\_\_

ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make note in data assessment - "Contract Problems/Non-Compliance".

8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration? ☐ \_\_\_\_

8.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 25% also present in the sample mass spectrum? ☐ \_\_\_\_

8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%? ☐ \_\_\_\_

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected (R), flagged "N" (presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (U) at the calculated detection limit. In order to be positively identified, the data must comply with the criteria listed in the SOW page VOA D-32, section 21.

ACTION: When sample carry-over is a possibility, professional judgement should be used to determine if instrument cross-contamination has affected any positive compound identification.

9.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)

9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I LCV-TIC) present? Do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "JN" qualifier? ☐ \_\_\_\_

LOW CONCENTRATION WATER  
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Page: 13 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

S))Q

YES NO N/A

9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:

a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate? ☐ ☐ ☐

b. Blanks? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: Add "JN" qualifier if missing.

9.3 Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) listed as TIC compounds (example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene- a VOA TCL analyte - and should not be reported as a TIC)? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Flag with "R" any TCL compound listed as a TIC.

9.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 25% also present in the sample mass spectrum? ☐ ☐ ☐

9.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change its identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate. Also, when a compound is not found in any blank, but is detected in a sample and is a suspected artifact of a common laboratory contaminant, the result should be qualified as unusable (R). (i.e. Common Lab Contaminants: CO<sub>2</sub> (M/E 44), Siloxanes (M/E 73) Hexane, Aldol Condensation Products, Solvent Preservatives, and related by products -

S))Q

YES NO N/A

see Functional Guidelines for more guidance).

10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits

10.1 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found? ☐ ☐ ☐

10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and note errors under "Conclusions".

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its associated value on the original Form I and substituting the data from the analysis of the diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant. Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

Page: 15 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

                                

The diagram consists of three horizontal line segments. The first segment on the left has a vertical line extending upwards from its left end and another vertical line extending upwards from its right end, with a horizontal line connecting the tops of these two vertical lines, forming a bracket-like shape. To the right of this bracketed pair are two separate, parallel horizontal line segments.

                                

Action: If any RRF values are  $< 0.05$ , qualify associated non-detects (R) and flag associated positive data as estimated (J).

Page: 16 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

13.4 Do any volatile compounds have a RRF <0.05? [ ]



Page: 17 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

ACTION: If the RRF  $< 0.05$ , qualify associated non-detects as unusable (R) and associated positive values as estimated "J".

\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

                          

---

---

2. Non-detects associated with IS area counts > 40% should not be qualified.

Page: 18 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

- 

                                

**ACTION:** Any gross variation between duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

PART B: BNA ANALYSES

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? [ ]      

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?    [ ]   

ACTION: If samples were not iced upon receipt at the laboratory, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "UJ".

2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any BNA technical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of extraction, been exceeded?    [ ]   

Continuous liquid-liquid extraction of samples for BNA analysis must be started within seven days of the date of collection. Extracts must be analyzed within 40 days of the date of extraction.

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample ID	Date Sampled	(See Traffic Report)		Date Analyzed
		Date Lab Received	Date Extracted	
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

S))Q

YES NO N/A

ACTION: If technical holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded. If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. At a minimum, all results should be qualified "J", but the reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R"). If holding times are exceeded by more than 28 days, all non-detect data are unusable (R).

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II LCSV)

3.1 Are the Low Conc. Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II LCSV) present? ☐ \_\_\_

3.2 Are all the semivolatile samples in each SDG listed on the proper Surrogate Recovery Form(s)? ☐ \_\_\_

ACTION: Call lab for explanation/resubmittals.  
If missing deliverables are unavailable,  
document effect in data assessments.

3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk? ☐ \_\_\_

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

3.4 Were two or more base-neutral OR acid surrogate recoveries out of specification for any sample or method blank? \_\_\_ ☐ \_\_\_

If yes, were samples reanalyzed? ☐ \_\_\_

Were method blanks reanalyzed? ☐ \_\_\_

ACTION: If all BNA surrogate recoveries are >10% but two within the base-neutral or acid

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

fraction do not meet SOW specifications  
for the affected fraction only (i.e.  
base-neutral or acid compounds):

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ") when recoveries are less than the lower acceptance limit.
3. If recoveries are greater than the upper acceptance limit, do not qualify non-detects.

If any base-neutral or acid surrogate has a recovery of <10%:

1. Positive results for the fraction with <10% surrogate recovery are qualified with "J".
2. Non-detects for that fraction should be qualified as unusable (R) .

Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and reanalyses. Check the internal standard areas.

3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II?           

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and document effect in data assessments.

4.0 Laboratory Control Sample (Form III LCSV)

4.1 Is the Semivolatile Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Recovery Form (Form III LCSV) present?

LOW CONCENTRATION WATER  
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Page: 22 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

S))Q

YES NO N/A

4.2 Was the LCS analyzed at the required frequency (once per SDG, or every 20 samples)? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If any LCS data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

4.3 How many Low Conc. Semivolatile LCS recoveries are outside QC limits?

Low Conc. Water

\_\_\_\_\_ out of 15

ACTION: If the LCS recovery is greater than the QC-limit, provided on Form III LCSV (140%), positive results should be flagged "J" for the affected compound(s).

If the mass spectral criteria are met but the LCS recovery is less than 60%, then the associated detected target compounds should be flagged "J". Associated non-detected target compounds should be flagged "R".

If 25 % of the analyte recoveries are below QC-limits qualify all associated positive sample data as "J" and non-detects "R".

If two or more analytes show recoveries of < 10% all associated positive sample data as "J" and non-detects "R".

It should be noted for TPO action if a laboratory fails to analyze an LCS with each SDG, or if a laboratory consistently fails to generate acceptable LCS recoveries.

5.0 Blanks (Form IV LCSV)

5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary Form (Form IV LCSV) present? ☐ ☐ ☐

5.2 Frequency of Analysis:

For the analysis of Low Conc. Semivolatile

Page: 23 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

                                

\_\_\_\_\_

                          

\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

[ ]

LOW CONCENTRATION WATER  
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Page: 24 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)

Note: All field blank results associated with a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks must be qualified for surrogate, spectral, instrument performance or calibration QC problems.

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks. If gross contamination exists, all data in the associated samples should be qualified as unusable (R).

Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 10x blank
Common Phthalate Esters		
Flag sample result with a "U";	Report CRQL & qualify "U"	No qualification is needed
Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5 blank value
Other Contaminants		
Flag sample result with a "U";	Report CRQL & qualify "U"	No qualification is needed

NOTE: Analytes qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered as "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the



Page: 25 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample?

7.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (Form V LCSV)

7.1 Are the GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Forms (Form V LCSV) present for Decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP)?

7.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the DFTPP provided for each twelve hour shift?

7.3 Has an instrument performance check solution been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

ACTION: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

S))Q

YES NO N/A

7.4 Have the ion abundances been normalized  
to m/z 198? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If mass assignment is in error, flag all  
associated sample data as unusable (R).

7.5 Have the ion abundance criteria been met  
for each instrument used? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If ion abundance criteria are not met, the  
Region II TPO must be notified.

7.6 Are there any transcription/calculation  
errors between mass lists and Form Vs?  
(Check at least two values but if errors  
are found, check more.) ☐ ☐ ☐

7.7 Have the appropriate number of significant  
figures (two) been reported? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for  
explanation/resubmittal, make necessary  
corrections and document effect in data  
assessments.

7.8 Is the spectrum of the mass calibration  
compound acceptable? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine  
whether associated data should be accepted,  
qualified, or rejected.

8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I LCSV)

8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I  
LCSV-1,2) present with required header  
information on each page, for each of the  
following:

a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate? ☐ ☐ ☐

b. Laboratory Control Sample(s)? ☐ ☐ ☐

Page: 27 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If lab does not generate their own standard

Page: 28 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

8.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?               

8.8 Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?         

ACTION: When sample carry-over is a possibility, professional judgement should be used to determine if instrument cross-contamination has affected any positive compound identification.

9.1 Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I, Part B) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "JN" qualifier? [ ]

9.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:

Page: 29 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

- 10.1 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the

Page: 30 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

10.2 Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions?         

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQL data from the diluted sample analysis). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and it's associated value on the original Form I and substituting the data from the analysis of the diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red " X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant, Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? ☐     

**ACTION:** If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms  
(Form VI LCSV-1,2) present and complete  
for the Low Conc. Semivolatile fraction at  
concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 50 and 80 ug/l? [ ]

Page: 31 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

                          

\_\_\_\_\_

[ ]

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary

LOW CONCENTRATION WATER  
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Page: 32 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

S))Q

YES NO N/A

corrections and note errors in data  
assessments.

13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII LCSV)

13.1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms  
(Form VII LCSV-1,2) present and complete  
for the semivolatile fraction?

☐ ☐ ☐

13.2 Has a continuing calibration standard  
been analyzed for every twelve hours of  
sample analysis per instrument?

☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were  
not within twelve hours of a continuing  
calibration analysis for each instrument  
used.

---

---

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing  
calibration standard has been analyzed  
within twelve hours of every sample  
analysis, call lab for explanation/  
resubmittal. If continuing calibration  
data are not available, flag all  
associated sample data as unusable ("R").

13.3 Do any semivolatile compounds have a  
% Difference (% D) between the initial and  
continuing RRF which exceeds the  $\pm 25.0\%$   
criteria?

☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: Qualify both positive results and non-  
detects for the outlier compound(s) as  
estimated (J). When %D is  $> 90\%$ , reject  
all non-detects for that analyte (R)  
unusable.



Page: 33 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

13.4 Do any semivolatile compounds have a  
RRF  $< 0.05$ ? \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: If  $RRF < 0.05$ , qualify as unusable (R) associated non-detects and "J" associated positive values.

13.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or % difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more). \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

14.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII LCSV)

14.1 Are the Internal Standard Area and RT Summary Forms (Form VIII LCSV-1,2) present and complete for the semivolatile fraction? ☐           

14.2 Are the internal standard areas for every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits (-50% to +100%) for each continuing calibration? [ ]

Sample #	Internal Std	Area	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

ACTION: 1. If the internal standard area count

Page: 34 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

2. Non-detects associated with IS areas > 100% should not be qualified.
3. If the IS area is below the lower limit (<50%), qualify all associated non-detects (U-values) "J". If extremely low area counts are reported (<25%) or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable (R).

\_\_\_\_\_

                                

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.

YES NO N/A

## 1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

                          

\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: Check extraction log for pH, if adjustment was needed, it should be noted in narrative. If information is not available, ask lab for information\resubmittals.

A diagram of a continuous beam with three spans. The central span is labeled '1' and the two side spans are labeled '2'. The beam is supported by three vertical supports: one at each end and one in the center. The central support is higher than the two end supports, creating a central span and two side spans.

**ACTION:** If technical holding times are exceeded,

Page: 36 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon re-analysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. At a minimum, all the data should at least be qualified "J", but the reviewer may determine that non-detects are unusable (R).

The diagram consists of three horizontal line segments. The first segment on the left has two short vertical lines extending upwards from its ends, forming a bracket-like shape. To its right are two separate, parallel horizontal line segments.

\_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: No qualification is done if surrogates are diluted out. If recovery for both surrogates is below the contract limit, but above 10%, flag all results for that sample 'J'. If recovery is < 10% for either surrogate, qualify positive results 'J' and flag non-detects 'R'. If recovery is above the contract advisory limits for both surrogates qualify positive values 'J'.

Page: 37 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

3.4 Were surrogate retention times (RT) within the windows established during the initial 3-point analysis of Individual Standard Mixture A? [ ]

3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? [ ]

#### 4.0 Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

4.1 Is the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Recovery Form (Form III) present? ☐          

4.2 Was the LCS analyzed at the required frequency (once per SDG, or every 20 samples) for the Low Conc. Pest/Aroclor method? [ ]

**ACTION:** If any LCS data are missing, take the action specified in 3.1 above.

#### 4.3 How many PEST spike recoveries are outside OC limits?

Water

out of 14 Total

ACTION: Check calculations, surrogates, LCS solutions and instrument performance.

ACTION: If the LCS recovery is greater than the QC-limit, provided on Form III LCP (140%), positive results should be flagged "J" for the affected compound.

**ACTION:** If LCS recovery is less than 60%, then the associated detected target compounds should be

S)))))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

flagged "J". Associated non-detected target compounds should be flagged "R".

ACTION: If 25 % of the analyte recoveries are below QC-limits  
qualify all associated positive sample data as "J" and  
non-detects "R".

ACTION: If two or more analytes show recoveries of < 10% all associated positive sample data as "J" and non-detects "R".

It should be noted for TPO action if a laboratory fails to analyze an LCS with each SDG, or if a laboratory consistently fails to generate acceptable LCS recoveries. The affected samples are those prepared and analyzed in SDG that correspond to LCS.

## 5.0 Blanks (Form IV LCP)

5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV LCP) present? [ ]

5.2 Frequency of Analysis: For the analysis of Pesticide/Aroclor TCL compounds, has a method blank been analyzed concurrently for each SDG or every 20 samples or each extraction batch, whichever is more frequent?

ACTION: If any blank data are missing, take the action specified above in 3.1. If blank data is not available, reject (R) all associated positive data.

However, using professional judgement, the data reviewer may substitute field blank data for missing method blank data.

YES NO N/A

6.2 Do any field/rinse blanks have positive

S))Q

YES NO N/A

Pest/Aroclor results?         

ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet)

NOTE: All field blank results associated to a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case or one per day) may be used to qualify data. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks must be qualified for surrogate, or calibration QC problems.

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL & > 5x blank value
Flag sample result with a "U";	Report CRQL & qualify "U"	No qualification is needed

NOTE: If gross blank contamination exists, all data in the associated samples should be qualified as unusable (R).

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample?         

ACTION: Note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.



S))Q  
YES NO N/A

7.0 Calibration and GC Performance

7.1 Are the following gas chromatograms and data systems printouts for both columns present for all samples, blanks?

- |  |                          |     |     |
|--|--------------------------|-----|-----|
| a. peak resolution check                 | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| b. performance evaluation mixtures       | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| c. aroclor 1016/1260                     | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| d. aroclors 1221, 1232, 1242, 1248, 1254 | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| e. toxaphene                             | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| f. low points individual mixtures A & B  | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| g. med points individual mixtures A & B  | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| h. high points individual mixtures A & B | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |
| I. instrument blanks                     | <input type="checkbox"/> | ___ | ___ |

ACTION: If no, take action specified in 3.1 above.

7.2 Are Forms VI LCP-1 - 3 present and complete for each column and each analytical sequence? ☐ \_\_\_ \_\_\_

ACTION: If no, take action specified in 3.1 above.

7.3 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Forms VI? \_\_\_ ☐ \_\_\_

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make necessary corrections and document effect in data assessments.

7.4 Do all standard retention times, including each pesticide in each level of Individual Mixtures A & B, fall within the windows established during the initial calibration analytical sequence? (For Initial Calibration Standards, (Form VI LCP-1 - 3).) ☐ \_\_\_ \_\_\_

S)))))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

**ACTION:** If no, all samples in the entire analytical sequence are potentially affected. Check to see if the chromatograms contain peaks within an expanded window surrounding the expected retention times. If no peaks are found and the surrogates are visible, non-detects are valid. If peaks are present and cannot be identified through pattern recognition or using a revised RT window, qualify all positive results and non-detects as unusable (R).

For Aroclors, RT may be outside the RT window, but the Aroclor may still be identified from the individual pattern.

- 7.5 Are the linearity criteria for the initial analyses of Individual Standards A & B within limits for both columns? (% RSD must be < 20.0% for all analytes except for the 2 surrogates, which must not exceed 30.0 % RSD). See Form VI LCP-2. [ ]

ACTION: If no, qualify all associated positive results generated during the entire analytical sequence "J" and all non-detects "UJ". When RSD >90%, flag all non-detect results for that analyte unusable (R).

- 7.6 Is the resolution between any two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture > 60.0% for both columns? (Form VI LCP-4) [ ]

ACTION: If no, positive results for compounds that were not adequately resolved should be qualified "J". Use professional judgement to determine if non-detects which elute in areas affected by co-eluting peaks should be qualified "N" as presumptive evidence of presence or unusable (R).

- 7.7 Is Form VII LCP-4 filled out correctly?  
Elution order of compounds is different  
on each column. Was the percent resolution  
calculated correctly? [ ]

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

7.8 Is Form VII - LCP-1 present and complete for  
each Performance Evaluation Mixture analyzed  
during the analytical sequence for both  
columns? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no, take action as specified in  
3.1 above.

7.9 Has the individual % breakdown exceeded 20.0%  
on either column: ☐ ☐ ☐  
for 4,4' - DDT? ☐ ☐ ☐  
for Endrin? ☐ ☐ ☐

Has the combined % breakdown for 4,4'- DDT/  
Endrin exceeded 30.0% on either column  
(required in all instances) ☐ ☐ ☐

- ACTION: 1. If any % breakdown has failed the  
QC criteria in either PEM in steps  
2 and 17 in the initial calibration  
sequence (p. D-25/Pest SOW LCW, 10.55)  
qualify all sample analyses in the  
entire analytical sequence as described  
below.
2. If any % breakdown has failed the QC  
criteria in a PEM Verification calibration,  
review data beginning with the samples  
which followed the last in-control standard  
until the next acceptable PEM & qualify the  
data as described below.
- a. 4,4'-DDT Breakdown: If 4,4'-DDT breakdown  
is greater than 20.0%:
- I. Qualify all positive results for DDT with  
"J". If DDT was not detected, but DDD and  
DDE are positive, then qualify the quan-  
titation limit for DDT as unusable (R).
- ii. Qualify positive results for DDD and/or  
DDE as presumptively present at an  
approximated quantity (NJ).

Page: 44 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

YES NO N/A

- 7.10 Are the relative percent difference (RPD) values for all PEM analytes < 25.0% (Form VII LCP-1)?

                          

ACTION: If no, qualify all associated positive results generated during the analytical sequence "J" and sample quantitation limits "UJ".

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

NOTE: If the failing PEM is part of the initial calibration, all samples are potentially affected. If the offending standard is a verification calibration, the associated samples are those which followed the last in-control standard until the next passing standard.

7.11 Have all samples been injected within a 12 hr period beginning with the injection of an Instrument Blank? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no, use professional judgement to determine the severity to the effect on data reliability.

7.12 Is Form VII LCP-2 present and complete for each INDA and INDB Verification Calibration analyzed? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no, take action specified in 3.1 above.

7.13 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form VII LCP-2? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If large errors exists, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and document effect in data assessments under "Conclusions".

7.14 Do all standard retention times for each INDA and INDB Verification Calibration fall within the windows established by the initial calibration sequence? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no, beginning with the samples which followed the last in-control standard, check to see if the chromatograms contain peaks within an expanded window surrounding the expected retention times. If no peaks are found and the surrogates are visible, non-detects are valid. If peaks are present and cannot be identified through pattern recognition or using a revised RT window, qualify all positive results and non-detects as unusable (R).

Page: 46 of 51  
Date: August, 1992  
Revision: 1

7.15 Are RPD values for all verification calibration standard compounds < 25.0%? [ ]

ACTION: Take action specified in 8.2 above.

S)))))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

## 9.0 Cleanup Efficiency Verification (Form IX LCP)

9.1 Is Form IX LCP present and complete for each lot of Florisil Cartridges used? (Florisil Cleanup is required for all Pest/Aroclor extracts.) [ ]

ACTION: If no, take action specified in 3.1 above.  
If data suggests that Florisil cleanup  
was not performed, make note in "Contract  
Problems/Non-Compliance".

9.2 Are all samples listed on the Pesticide Florisil Cartridge Check Form? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no, take action specified in 3.2 above.

9.3 Are percent recoveries (% REC) of the pesticide and surrogate compounds used to check the efficiency of the cleanup procedures within QC limits ?

80-120% for Florisil cartridge check? [ ]

ACTION: If %REC of one or two TCL compounds is below  
 < 80%, qualify positive results "J" and  
 quantitation limits "UJ" for these compounds.

If more than two compounds are below 80% recovery qualify all associated data, positive and negative with a "J".

If two or more have recovery of less than 10% all positive data should be qualified "J" and non-detects should be qualified "R". Use professional judgement to qualify positive results if recoveries are greater than the upper limit.

NOTE: Sample data should be evaluated for potential interferences if recovery of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol was > 5% in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis. Make note in Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of reviewer narrative.

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

10.0 Pesticide/Aroclor Identification

10.1 Is Form X complete for every sample in which  
a pesticide or PCB was detected? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no, take action specified in 3.1 above.

10.2 Are there any transcription/calculation  
errors between raw data and Forms 6D, 6E,  
6F, 6G, 7D, 7E, 8D, 9A, 10A, 10B? ☐ ☐

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for  
explanation/resubmittal, make necessary  
corrections and note error under  
"Conclusions".

10.3 Are retention times (RT) of sample compounds  
within the established RT windows for both  
analyses? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to qualify  
positive results. Qualify as unusable  
(R) all positive results which were not  
confirmed by second GC column analysis.  
Also qualify as unusable (R) all positive  
results not meeting RT window unless  
associated standard compounds are similarly  
biased (see Functional Guidelines). The  
reviewer should use professional judgement  
to assign an appropriate quantitation limit.

10.4 Is the percent difference (% D) calculated  
for the positive sample results on the two  
GC columns < 25.0%? ☐ ☐ ☐

If %D is >25%, lab must flag reported results  
with the qualifier P.

ACTION: If the reviewer finds neither column shows  
interference for the positive hits, the  
data should be flagged as follows:

<u>% Difference</u>	<u>Qualifier</u>
25-50 %	J
50-90 %	JN
> 90 %	R



S))Q  
YES NO N/A

NOTE: The lower of the two values is reported on Form I. If using professional judgement, the reviewer determines that the higher result was more acceptable, the reviewer should replace the value and indicate the reason for the change in the data assessment.

10.5 Check chromatograms for false negatives, especially the multiple peak compounds toxaphene and PCBs. Were there any false negatives? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to decide if the compound should be reported. If the appropriate PCB standards were not analyzed, qualify the data unusable (R).

11.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits

- 11.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form 1 Pest) present with required header information for each of the following:
- a. samples? ☐ ☐ ☐
  - b. Method Blanks? ☐ ☐ ☐
  - c. Instrument Blanks? ☐ ☐ ☐
- 11.2 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Were any errors found? ☐ ☐ ☐

NOTE: Single-peak pesticide results can be checked for rough agreement between quantitative results obtained on the two GC columns. The reviewer should use professional judgement to decide whether a much larger concentration obtained on one column versus the other indicates the presence of an interfering compound.

YES NO N/A

                                          

ACTION: Quantitation limits affected by large, off-scale peaks should be qualified as unusable (R). If the interference is on-scale, the reviewer can provide an approximated quantitation limit (UJ) for each affected compound.

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. However, if large differences exist, identification of field duplicates should be confirmed by contacting the sampler.